

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/728,634	Applicant(s) PRZYGODA ET AL	
	Examiner Timothy D Collins	Art Unit 3643	

All Participants:
Status of Application: New Application

 (1) Timothy D Collins.

(3) _____.

 (2) David Bowls.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 19, and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

4912921 and figure 3 of the applicant's figures

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner made some suggestions of allowable subject matter which included claiming the linearity of the design of the system. For example that the engine-pto-generator-AMAD-other devices should be claimed in a way similar to claim 27. It was agreed that an examiner's amendment would be made to place the case in condition for allowance..